Steve Atkinson MA(Oxon) MBA Flod FRSA Document Pack Chief Executive

Date: 10 October 2013





Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council

A Borough to be proud of

To: Members of the Planning Committee

Mr R Mayne (Chairman)
Mrs L Hodgkins
Miss DM Taylor (Vice-Chairman)
Mr MS Hulbert
Mr RG Allen
Mr KWP Lynch
Mr JG Bannister
Mr CW Boothby
Mr LJP O'Shea
Mrs T Chastney
Mr WJ Crooks
Mr R Ward

Mrs WA Hall Ms BM Witherford

Copy to all other Members of the Council

(other recipients for information)

Dear Councillor,

Please see overleaf a Supplementary Agenda for the meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** on **TUESDAY**, **23 APRIL 2013** at **6.00 pm**.

Yours sincerely

Rebecca Owen

Democratic Services Officer

PLANNING COMMITTEE - 23 APRIL 2013

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA

<u>APPLICATION 12/00295/OUT - SUSTAINABLE URBAN EXTENSION, BARWELL (Pages</u>
1 - 6)
Information received since publication of the report is attached.

Barwell Sustainable Urban Extension 12/00295/OUT - Late Items

Consultations:-

Consultee Representations

Highways Agency (12th April 2013) - No objection

Neighbour representations

following grounds 10 representations received (14th, 16th, 17th, 20th and 21st April 2013) objecting on the

- speeding along this lane. Speed humps on Stapleton Lane are not necessary. There is no evidence of
- the village. development would destroy countryside, increase traffic and change the nature of The majority of people oppose the application. It is not an urban area and this
- destroy our village. Our elected representatives must reflect our wishes and vote against it.
- impact of the SUE on Stoke Golding and the neighbouring villages. Both the original Transport Assessment and the Addendum ignores the traffic
- strategic road network then traffic will not reroute to the rural road network Highways latest response seems to be that if improvements are made to the evidence to the contrary. Unfortunately there is little or no evidence to substantiate this stance and lots of Consider the observations to be incomplete Leicestershire County Council Highway and too late. Authority The basic point of observations
- effective and manage the impact on the rural network to an acceptable level There is still no publicly available evidence that the proposed mitigations will be
- . public needs a proper opportunity to question, scrutinise and comment. The output from the latest traffic modelling needs to be made public and the
- assessed and an acceptable solution be designed The decision must be deferred until the latest information can be properly
- clearly demonstrate that the problems had been solved. There is not one single was the model not run with the proposed highway changes incorporated, to for traffic at either of these junctions. These are the key junctions on the network to ensure traffic flows on the main trunk network and not on the rural roads. in a few years time. Therefore su similar is attached to the approval; Having done computer modelling to identify the potential rural traffic problem why contributions may have been agreed there is no final effective design available proposals should clearly demonstrate that the potential impact of the two planning Earl Shilton SUE should be re-submitted for consideration and approval by the proposals are rejected. Highways changes resulting from the Barwell SUE and resolved. reference in the LCC Highways comments that identified problems have been Road/Rogue's developments on rural roads has been effectively mitigated. Normandy Way/A447 Ashby Road and A447 Ashby Road/ committee On this basis, Lane before signalised crossroads Therefore suggest the following condition or something if approved there is potential for serious traffic problems development of the 'The LCC highway recommendations and These are the key junctions on the network - it is clear that whilst financial SUEs commence Hinckle)
- 0 available to facilitate effective traffic calming within the village Increase in traffic in Stoke Golding, should be made a 20mph zone and monies
- destination Increase in traffic through Dadlington. People will use it as a rat run to reach their
- trunk road Concerned and disappointed to note that the designs of the two junctions on the that are supposed ਰ encourage drivers to avoid local rat runs

- there is currently no phasing schedule (A447/Rogues Lane and A447/A47) have yet to be agreed and even if they are
- non-resident traffic The rat runs identified in the LCC Highways representations already carry a lot of
- implementation of traffic calming measures, it is regrettable that the Highways deal with and the lack of action by HBBC to resolve these issues through the Given the documented traffic issues that Stoke Golding and Dadlington already Authority is supporting the Barwell development.
- integrations with the existing road infrastructure are resolved No objection to the principle of development once the problems relating to its

application on behalf of his constituents on the following grounds; 1 representation received from David Tredinnick MP (12th April 2013) objecting to the

- point of developing a SUE is to join the new neighbourhood to an existing urban number of local residents have pointed out over a number of years urban is the key word as Barwell is a semi-rural village that does fit the criteria for area which already has the infrastructure and facilities to cope with the scheme The Borough Council's SUE policy is fundamentally flawed as a very large The whole
- Application is premature in that the Area Action Plan has not been adopted.
- plans for Barwell SUE does not deal with the reality of a transport system already operating beyond its optimum capacity. Crucially the Local Highway Authority is unable to support the surrounding villages. The plans fail to adequately tackle the key infrastructure issues in Barwell and the Modelling for vehicle movements on the local road network
- regular basis in the area. significant flooding/water management issues now being experienced clear that the development of the SUE would undoubtedly add to the on a
- substitute for a properly thought out and supported long-term plan for Barwell's infrastructure, vague aspirations and the odd minor cosmetic improvement are no about the much needed sustained regeneration of the existing commercial centre Failure of the plans to demonstrate precisely how the development will bring Without the necessary substantial investment in the village's key
- where appropriate housing schemes would be welcomed. lost to development where there are more suitable sites, including brownfield biodiversity and it is difficult to accept that green field land such as this should be The SUE plan would inevitably have a negative impact upon the area's rich
- scheme by the Borough Council in any meaningful way. It is difficult to conclude anything other than the people of Barwell, and indeed residents in neighbouring settlements, were never consulted about the SUE
- enormous concern to local residents. the quality of life in the village and the general wellbeing of its residents. In this respect, the impact of vastly increased traffic through Stapleton on the A447 is of development will be detrimental to the rural character of this small community, number of neighbouring villages but none The Barwell SUE proposals will also have a hugely detrimental impact on a more so than Stapleton. Such a
- divided community in what will be a new and totally different Barwell. that outweight the disadvantages. any significant long term benefits for the community of Barwell - certainly none Stapleton as well as neighbouring settlements and residents do not want this massive development on valued green field land. The plans simply fail to provide The vast majority of people believe the SUE is the wrong plan for Barwell and far from delivering great sustainable benefit to Barwell the The proposals will only produce a starkly SUE scheme is Therefore,

communities with Stapleton particularly badly hit overwhelmingly seen as detrimental for the village and for a number of other local

Witherley, on the following grounds; behalf of Parishes of Stoke Golding, Higham on the Hill, Sutton Cheney, Sheepy and County Councillor Ivan Ould (12th April 2013) wishes to object to the application on

- measures from additional traffic emanating from the SUE places of work has not featured in the proposals. The potential impact on small rural settlements of using the quickest route to Unable to find any mitigation
- determining the Barwell SUE in isolation from these other requirements into account the needs of MIRA. Barwell SUE but also the Earl Shilton SUE as well. The AAP also needs to take Area Action The County Council Cabinet has recommended to the Borough Council that an Plan (AAP) is needed that assessed not just the impact of the In short, the Borough Council is premature in
- 9 flooding will stop commerce within the area and prevent the development of There is already flooding occurring throughout the local parishes. Any further
- perimeter road would not have caused either the traffic or potential flooding problems. This would be a more suitable location to that of a semi-rural village. The original location for the SUE, adjacent to both the A5 and the northern
- facilities, school places and road alterations be found? Where will the cost of providing infrastructure in terms of roads, sewerage

Wharf, re-configuration of the crossroads at Dadlington/Fenn Lane and the measures no mitigation measures for the Division which he represents. A further email from Councillor Ivan Ould (received 16 April) stating that there are resolution of the problems in Fenny Drayton. 20 mph zones in villages, additional signage around Sutton Cheney should include chicanes to reduce traffic speeds, active

regarding the proposals for the Barwell SUE. members Lexington Communications on behalf of the applicants have sent a letter to all 으 the Planning Committee (including Councillors Bray and Gould)

Appraisal:-

planning committee report The objections raised have already been addressed and appraised within the

Report updates

Paragraph 7.1 – refers to 'initial public consultation on the issues papers relating to the AAP began in November 2003'. This should read:-Barwell/Earl Shilton SUE Masterplan began in July 2009 and have continued unti Borough could be accommodated. began in November 2003. 'initial public consultation on the issues papers relating to the Core The issues paper looked at how growth within the odated. Masterplan Stakeholder Workshops on the Strategy

the submission of the application.

bowling green will be provided via the s106 and will be the responsibility of the aside for maintaining the bowling green. Paragraph 18.12 -Parish Council Barwell Parish Council made reference to funds being set Maintenance contribution for the

at a more appropriate point in the development process. A condition is proposed applicants have advised that the exact approach and technology mix is selected the life of the development and the current rate of change in this area, the power generation will be investigated and if viable, implemented as part of the development. A number of low and zero carbon (LZC) technologies have been investigated and are discussed within the Energy Statement (April 2012). Given providing some or all of the energy needs of the SUE by sustainable on site Policy 3 of the Core Strategy refers to the feasibility of

children's centre. application proposes a children's nursery which is the same Use Class (D1) as a Policy 3 of the Core Strategy also refers to provision of a children's centre. The

- latest Report refers to an employment land requirement of 6.5ha within the Paragraph 24.1 - refers to the Leicester and Leicestershire HMA Employment Land Study. This study has been updated through the 'The Leicester and Barwell SUE Leicestershire Housing Market Area (HMA) Employment Land Study 2013. The
- . Paragraph 24.5 The final sentence should read...local market commercial
- . substituting employment for residential...... Paragraph 24.6 - Final sentence should read 'That issue has been addressed by
- :0 of interests being made by interested parties' reflecting local market commercial terms or any part of it subject to expressions obligations to agree sale of freehold or leasehold terms on the employment site Paragraph 24.8 - The last bullet point should read 'Reasonable endeavours
- fittings and all necessary site works. The developer can construct the school at estimated that the cost of the new school will be £5.35m, including furniture and "The £1.3m is for the places required by extension to existing schools. Paragraph 25.2 — "The £1.3m is for both off-site and on-site mitigation..." is incorrect and should read:-This

their own costs to an agreed specification"

also be able to use the central GI corridor along the River Tweed tributary. (ES) states: 10.143 As a farmland species, habitat suitable for brown hare will be adversely affected by the development proposals'. The Environmental Statement Paragraph 30.7 - Refers to 'population of brown hares on site is likely to be lost as the result of the development proposals. The surrounding agricultural land however, likely to provide suitable alternative habitat for this species which will

of the Tweed Corridor. by suitable alternative habitat and includes suitable alternative habitat in the form impact is negligible hence no mitigation is prescribed and the site is surrounded receptor (VER) in the impact assessment due to low populations. The residual To conclude, the ES finds the brown hare population is not a valuable ecological

condition 36 in relation to archaeology hedgerows and extant ridge and furrow earthworks. 33.4 Note that a condition S proposed to retain the This can be dealt with via

- Play and Open Space (maintenance)
 Play and Open Space (onsite provision) Paragraph 44.5 - headings are not in bold and not numbered:-
- Paragraph 44.7 should read withdrawal space rather than withdraw space
- Paragraph 44.24 in the following tranches... HBBC and utilised for the purposes of apprenticeships and training opportunities amended to read "A contribution of £300,000 will be paid to
- Paragraph 44.30 Reference to the size of the policing facility should be deleted accommodating neighbourhood policing provision. Ward Centre or provision of The Heads of Terms refers to a contribution towards the extension of the George other off-site facilities in Barwell suitable
- . Paragraph 44.39 - At present the Constitutional Club has 54 parking spaces application to redevelop the Constitutional Club was submitted to the Authority on 22nd April. The developer has committed to retain these spaces for the public.

Para 29. Drainage and Flood Risk - update following representations received on flooding

approved guidance and will be responsible for maintenance and inspection of SUDs within the Leicestershire County Council) will approve all "on site" SUDS to nationally to reduce the run off rate from sites to mimic the undeveloped state. schemes required by planning condition will continue until then. SUDs are designed April 2014 (current commencement date) a new SUDS Approval Board (located increasing flood risk through the installation of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems The Barwell SUE will be required to be in accordance with Government Policy of not conditions that the risk to both new and existing properties will be minimised. From has reviewed the evidence provided and are satisfied that with the imposition of The Environment Agency and Severn Trent Water along with the Council such as balancing ponds, swales etc. The current system of SUDs

this current situation as flows will be attenuated. are the subject of joint agency investigations to determine possible improvements. The Barwell SUE development through the application of SUDs should not worsen no problems have been reported since. The reported flooding problems at Shenton Street following issues relating to the watercourse and culvert under Mill Street and downpours. Hinckley determine actions in the short term to reduce the risk of flooding under severe out by the Lead Local Flood Authority team at the Leicestershire County Council to trash screens under Barwell Park. Investigations into the current causes are carried and should benefit from the improvements in the watercourse proposed such as the development watercourses and drains a large area of countryside and a small area of urban Existing flooding problems relate to the watercourses and culverts currently in place and Bosworth Borough Council implemented works at Mill Shenton is at a confluence of

Recommendation:-

Additional Conditions

Highways

No dwelling within any phase shall be occupied unless and until street lighting has been provided on the means of access serving that dwelling in accordance with details submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority.

accordance with Policy T9 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan. Reason - In the interests of public safety and the amenities of future residents in

Dropped kerbs and ramps, suitable for wheelchairs and prams, shall be provided time such footways are constructed. in the footways at all major pedestrian crossing points and at road junctions at the

accordance with Policy T9 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan. Reason - For the safety and convenience of all pedestrians but in particular, the the disabled and wheelchair, pram and pushchair users and

that dwelling in accordance with the approved reserved matters. No dwelling erected pursuant to this permission shall be occupied until the garage, car port and/or vehicle hard standing for that dwelling has been constructed, laid out and made available for use of the occupants and visitors of

site in accordance with Policy T5 of the Hinckley and Bosworth Local Plan. facilities in the interests of highway safety and the satisfactory development of the To ensure the satisfactory provision of off-street vehicle parking

alternatives) shall be maintained have been installed in accordance with details submitted to and approved by the purposes/residential shall be occupied unless and until cycle storage facilities local planning buildings authority and erected pursuant thereafter such facilities to this permission <u>o</u> ਨੂੰ any commercial approved

provided Reason - To ensure cyclist facilities and hence sustainable transport choices are Bosworth Local Plan and maintained in accordance with Policy 79 of the Hinckley

Ecology

shall be carried out not later than the third anniversary of the commencement of development and ending in the year in which the development of the last house authorised by this permission is substantially completed. years in accordance with the programme and method statement. The first survey the local planning authority in writing a programme and method statement for Before development is commenced there shall be submitted to and approved by within the application site. surveying and reporting on the presence and distribution of protected species Surveys shall be carried out at least once every 3

development is fully implemented in accordance with the overarching principles of the National Planning Policy Framework. To ensure that ecological surveys are kept up to date until the